Case 1:07-cv-04939-AKH Docu	ument 1 Filed 05/15/2007 Page 1 of 11
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK	21 MC 100 (AKH)
IN RE WORLD TRADE CENTER DISASTER SITE LITIGATION	
GEORGE FORTALEZA AND JANETTE FORTALEZA	DOCKET NO.
Plaintiffs,	CHECK-OFF ("SHORT FORM") COMPLAINT RELATED TO THE
- against -	MASTER COMPLAINT
A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,	PLAINTIFF(S) DEMAND A TRIAL BY JURY
SEE ATTACHED RIDER,	
Defendant	S
•	Hellerstein, United States District Judge, dated June 22, ints for all Plaintiffs were filed on August 18, 2006.
NO	TICE OF ADOPTION
instant Phintiff(s) as if fully set forth herein	aster Complaint are applicable to and are adopted by the in addition to those paragraphs specific to the individual marked with an '\(\vec{\su}\)'' if applicable to the instant Plaintiff(s),

and specific case information is set forth, as needed, below.

Plaintiffs, GEORGE FORTALEZA AND JANETTE FORTALEZA, by his/her/their attorneys WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP, complaining of Defendant(s), respectfully allege:

I. PARTIES

A. PLAINTIFF(S)

1. ndividual a	☑ Plaintiff, GEORGE FORTALEZA (hereinafter the "Injured Plaintiff"), is a and a citizen of New Jersey residing at 64 Rooney Street, Clifton, NJ 07011	ın
	(OR)	
2.	Alternatively, \square is the of Decedent	
	, and brings this claim in his (her) capacity as of the Estate of	
	Please read this document carefully.	
	It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.	

Ca	ise 1:07-cv-04939-AKH Documen	t 1 Filed 05/15/2007 Page 2 of 11
3. Jersey residin Plaintiff:		after the "Derivative Plaintiff"), is a citizen of New 11-, and has the following relationship to the Injured
	GEORGE FORTALEZA, and	herein, is and has been lawfully married to Plaintiff brings this derivative action for her (his) loss due to
		usband (his wife), Plaintiff GEORGE FORTALEZA Other:
of New York	In the period from 10/5/2001 to 10/17, and New Jersey as a Police Officer at:	/2002 the Injured Plaintiff worked for Port Authority
	Please be as specific as possible when fi	Illing in the following dates and locations
=====================================	d Trade Center Site	====================================
	<i>i.e.</i> , building, quadrant, etc.)	From on or about until;
	bout _10/5/2001_ until _10/17/2002_; ly _12_ hours per day; for	Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total.
Approximate	ly <u>54</u> days total.	☐ Other:* For injured plaintiffs who worked at
	York City Medical Examiner's Office bout,	Non-WTC Site building or location. The injured plaintiff worked at the address/location, for the dates alleged, for the hours per day, for the total
Approximate Approximate	ly hours per day; for ly days total.	days, and for the employer, as specified below:
	Kills Landfill	From on or about until;
	bout;	Approximately hours per day; for Approximately days total;
Approximate Approximate	ly hours per day; for ly days total.	Name and Address of Non-WTC Site Building/Worksite:
		aper if necessary. If more space is needed to specify ate sheet of paper with the information.
5.	Injured Plaintiff	
	✓ Was exposed to and breathed rabove;	noxious fumes on all dates, at the site(s) indicated
	✓ Was exposed to and inhaled or dates at the site(s) indicated above;	ingested toxic substances and particulates on all
	✓ Was exposed to and absorbed the site(s) indicated above;	or touched toxic or caustic substances on all dates at
	✓ Other: Not yet determined.	

6.

Has not made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund. Pursuant to §405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable. Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was denied. Pursuant to §
Made a claim to the Victim Companyation Fund that was denied. Durguent to 8
405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund, that was subsequently withdrawn by Ground-Zero Plaintiff. Pursuant to § 405(c)(3)(B)(i) of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, the issue of waiver is inapplicable.
Made a claim to the Victim Compensation Fund that was granted. Pursuant to § $405(c)(3)(B)(i)$ of the Air Transportation Safety and System Stabilization Act, 49 U.S.C. § 40101, Ground Zero-Plaintiff has waived her/his right(s) to pursue any further legal action for the injuries identified in said claim.

B. DEFENDANT(S)

The following is a list of all Defendant(s) named in the Master Complaint. If checked, all paragraphs pertaining to that Defendant are deemed pleaded herein.

☐ THE CITY OF NEW YORK	☑ A RUSSO WRECKING
☐ A Notice of Claim was timely filed and	☑ ABM INDUSTRIES, INC.
served on and	☑ ABM JANITORIAL NORTHEAST, INC.
	☑ AMEC CONSTRUCTION MANAGEMENT,
☐ pursuant to General Municipal Law §50-	INC.
h the CITY held a hearing on(OR)	☑ AMEC EARTH & ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
☐ The City has yet to hold a hearing as	☑ ANTHONY CORTESE SPECIALIZED
required by General Municipal Law §50-h	HAULING, LLC, INC.
☐ More than thirty days have passed and	☑ ATLANTIC HEYDT CORP
the City has not adjusted the claim	☑ BECHTEL ASSOCIATES PROFESSIONAL
(OR)	CORPORATION
☐ An Order to Show Cause application to	☑ BECHTEL CONSTRUCTION, INC.
deem Plaintiff's (Plaintiffs') Notice of	☑ BECHTEL CORPORATION
Claim timely filed, or in the alternative to grant	☑ BECHTEL ENVIRONMENTAL, INC.
Plaintiff(s) leave to file a late Notice of Claim	☑ BERKEL & COMPANY, CONTRACTORS,
Nunc Pro Tunc (for leave to file a late Notice of	INC.
Claim <i>Nunc Pro Tunc</i>) has been filed and a	☑ BIG APPLE WRECKING & CONSTRUCTION
determination	CORP
☐ is pending	☐ BOVIS LEND LEASE, INC.
☐ Granting petition was made on	☑ BOVIS LEND LEASE LMB, INC.
☐ Denying petition was made on	☑ BREEZE CARTING CORP
	☑ BREEZE NATIONAL, INC.
☐ PORT AUTHORITY OF NEW YORK AND	☑ BRER-FOUR TRANSPORTATION CORP.
NEW JERSEY ["PORT AUTHORITY"]	☑ BURO HAPPOLD CONSULTING ENGINEERS,
☐ A Notice of Claim was filed and served	P.C.
pursuant to Chapter 179, §7 of The	☑ C.B. CONTRACTING CORP
Unconsolidated Laws of the State of New	☑ CANRON CONSTRUCTION CORP
York on	☐ CONSOLIDATED EDISON COMPANY OF
☐ More than sixty days have elapsed since	NEW YORK, INC. ✓ CORD CONTRACTING CO. INC.
the Notice of Claim was filed, (and)	☑ CORD CONTRACTING CO., INC ☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANY INC.
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has	☐ CRAIG TEST BORING COMPANT INC. ☐ DAKOTA DEMO-TECH
adjusted this claim	☑ DIAMOND POINT EXCAVATING CORP
☐ the PORT AUTHORITY has not	☑ DIEGO CONSTRUCTION, INC.
adjusted this claim.	☑ DIVERSIFIED CARTING, INC.
	☑ DMT ENTERPRISE, INC.
☐ 1 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ D'ONOFRIO GENERAL CONTRACTORS
□ 1 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	CORP
☐ 2 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EAGLE LEASING & INDUSTRIAL SUPPLY
□ 2 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EAGLE ONE ROOFING CONTRACTORS INC.
☐ 4 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☐ EAGLE SCAFFOLDING CO, INC.
☐ 4 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☑ EJ DAVIES, INC.
☐ 5 WORLD TRADE CENTER, LLC	☑ EN-TECH CORP
□ 5 WTC HOLDINGS, LLC	☐ ET ENVIRONMENTAL
☐ 7 WORLD TRADE COMPANY, L.P.	□EVANS ENVIRONMENTAL

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

✓ ROYAL GM INC.

☑ SAB TRUCKING INC.

✓ SAFEWAY ENVIRONMENTAL CORP

☑ SEASONS INDUSTRIAL CONTRACTING

☐ OTHER:

☑ ZIEGENFUSS DRILLING, INC.

✓ YORK HUNTER CONSTRUCTION, LLC

✓ YONKERS CONTRACTING COMPANY, INC.

Please read this document carefully.

It is very important that you fill out each and every section of this document.

☐ Non-WTC Site Building Owner	☐ Non-WTC Site Building Managing Agent
Name:	Name:
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	Building/Worksite Address:
☐ Non-WTC Site Lessee	· ·
Name:	
Business/Service Address:	
Building/Worksite Address:	

Case 1:07-cv-04939-AKH Document 1 Filed 05/15/2007 Page 7 of 11 II. JURISDICTION

The Court's jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action is:

Stabil	val jurisdiction over this action, pursuant to 28	Jurisdi ut the U.S.C	iction, (or); Other (specify): Court has already determined that it has 2. § 1441.
	bility, and asserts each element necessary to es		<u> </u>
law:			
Y	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law(s) including §§ 200 and 240	✓	Common Law Negligence, including allegations of Fraud and Misrepresentation
V	Breach of the defendants' duties and obligations pursuant to the New York State Labor Law 241(6)		 ✓ Air Quality; ✓ Effectiveness of Mask Provided; ✓ Effectiveness of Other Safety Equipment Provided
V	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-a		(specify:); ✓ Other(specify): Not yet determined
V	Pursuant to New York General Municipal Law §205-e		Wrongful Death
		V	Loss of Services/Loss of Consortium for Derivative Plaintiff

Other: _

Case 1:07-cv-04939-AKH Document 1 Filed 05/15/2007 Page 8 of 11 IV CAUSATION, INJURY AND DAMAGE

1. As a direct and proximate result of defendant's culpable actions in the rescue and/or recovery and/or construction, renovation, alteration, demolition and all work performed at the premises, the Injured Plaintiff sustained (including, but not limited to) the following injuries:

Cancer Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:		Cardiovascular Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:
Respiratory Injury: Chronic Cough; Cough; Dyspnea; Sinus and/or Nasal Problems; Sinus Problems; Wheezing Date of onset: 12/18/2006 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date		Fear of Cancer Date of onset: 12/18/2006 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date
Digestive Injury: N/A. Date of onset: Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work:	V	Other Injury: Chronic Headaches Date of onset: 12/18/2006 Date physician first connected this injury to WTC work: To be supplied at a later date

NOTE: The foregoing is *NOT* an exhaustive list of injuries that may be alleged.

2. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries identified in paragraph "1", above, the Ground Zero-Plaintiff has in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer the following compensable damages:

damages: \checkmark Pain and suffering \checkmark Loss of the enjoyment of life \checkmark Loss of earnings and/or impairment of earning capacity \checkmark Loss of retirement benefits/diminution of retirement benefits \checkmark Expenses for medical care, treatment, and rehabilitation \checkmark Other: ✓ Mental anguish **☑** Disability ✓ Medical monitoring ✓ Other: Not yet determined._

3. As a direct and proximate result of the injuries described *supra*, the derivative plaintiff(s), if any, have in the past suffered and/or will in the future suffer a loss of the love, society, companionship, services, affection, and support of the plaintiff and such other losses, injuries and damages for which compensation is legally appropriate.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff(s) respectfully pray that the Court enter judgment in his/her/their favor and against defendant(s) for damages, costs of suit and such other, further and different relief as may be just and appropriate.

Plaintiff(s) demands that all issues of fact in this case be tried before a properly empanelled jury.

Dated: New York, New York April 25, 2007

Yours, etc.,

Worby, Groner Edelman & Napoli Bern, LLP

Attorneys for Plaintiff(s), George Fortaleza and Janette Fortaleza

By: ____

Christopher R. LoPalo (CL 6466)

115 Broadway 12th Floor

New York, New York 10006

Phone: (212) 267-3700

ATTORNEY VERIFICATION

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO, an attorney at law, duly admitted to practice in the Courts of the State of New York, affirms under the penalties of perjury that:

He is the attorney for the plaintiff(s) in the above-entitled action.

That he has read the foregoing SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT and knows

the contents thereof, and upon information and belief, deponent believes

the matters alleged therein to be true.

The reason this Verification is made by deponent and not by the plaintiff(s) is that the plaintiff(s) herein reside(s) in a county other than the one in which the plaintiff's attorneys maintain their office.

The source of deponent's information and the grounds of his belief are communication, papers, reports and investigation contained in the file.

DATED: New York, New York
April 25, 2007

CHRISTOPHER R. LOPALO

Docket No:	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
	GEORGE FORTALEZA (AND WIFE, JANETTE FORTALEZA),
	Plaintiff(s) - against -
	A RUSSO WRECKING, ET. AL.,
	Defendant(s).
=======	SUMMONS AND VERIFIED COMPLAINT
	WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP Attorneys for: Plaintiff(s) Office and Post Office Address, Telephone 115 Broadway - 12th Floor New York, New York 10006 (212) 267-3700
	To Attorney(s) for
	Service of a copy of the within is hereby admitted.
	Dated,
=======	Attorney(s) for ===================================
□ <u>NO′</u>	TICE OF ENTRY that the within is a (certified) true copy of an duly entered in the office of the clerk of the within named court on20 TICE OF SETTLEMENT that an order of which the within is a true copy will be presented for settlement to the HON. one of the judges of the within named Court, at on 20 atM. Dated, Yours, etc., WORBY GRONER EDELMAN & NAPOLI BERN, LLP